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Introduction

In continuation of the work done by the ESDN in the past several months on the sustainable development goals (SDGs) and the post-2015 agenda, this Discussion Paper aims at integrating and expanding the debates held until now with a particular focus on the processes of monitoring and reviewing SDGs that will be taking place in Europe and in EU Member States.

In 2014, the ESDN extensively reflected and opened discussions on the theme of the SDGs, especially during the ESDN Conference 2014, “A renewed policy framework for sustainable development – The international SD agenda and its impact on Europe”, which took place in Rome, Italy (6-7 November 2014) in cooperation with the Italian EU Presidency. With 70 participants from 22 countries, this conference mainly reflected on how the UN process on the SDGs impacts on the EU and its Member States in terms of sustainable development policies and governance. Several key messages resulted from the various keynotes, panel discussions, and interactive group work at the ESDN Conference 2014:

- First, the UN process on the SDGs was seen as an ideal opportunity for Europe to promote an effective transition towards sustainable development: Europe should be a leader and role-model, also on the international level, by promoting an innovative path towards sustainable development.

- Secondly, the ESDN Conference 2014 highlighted the crucial role that governance for SD should have in Europe, especially in terms of leadership, implementation and innovative paths for the future. In this context, a need for ‘more Europe’ was shared, particularly a stronger EU leadership, with a renewed political commitment, and a reaffirmation of a common vision towards SD. A recommendation was advanced to focus more prominently on SD by renewing the outdated EU SDS. Consequently, it was argued that the Europe 2020 Strategy could not replace a comprehensive, long-term EU strategy for SD, which is able to consider the physical limits of ecosystems. A stronger focus on implementation of SD objectives was also suggested – by using, for instance, the European Semester as a process to help EU Member States implement SDGs and targets (i.e. Greening the European Semester) – together with a stronger attention to policy coherence and multi-level governance.

- Thirdly, the participants of the ESDN Conference 2014 strongly recommended that all societal stakeholders (especially civil society, business and research) should be involved by addressing SD issues in Europe through more democratic, inclusive and participatory approaches.

Additionally, between November 2014 and January 2015, as an immediate follow-up of the ESDN Conference 2014, the ESDN Office undertook a survey among national SD policy-makers in Europe to receive information about national activities in relation to the sustainable development goals (SDGs) and on the post-2015 agenda. Of the 29 country representatives approached, 18 policy-makers responded to the survey questionnaires, which focused on three main areas of inquiry: (i) the process (before and after September 2015); (ii) the foreseen implementation phase; and (iii) the governance mechanisms (i.e. leadership, coordination).

The survey results show that in several EU countries, SDGs-related activities and initiatives have, so far, revolved around the organisation of events, meetings and workshops for public servants, but also on dialogues with stakeholders to exchange views and broaden participation towards the post-2015
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agenda process. In addition, SDGs-related initiatives have often been undertaken through regular or already existing coordination and consultation mechanisms in relation to the elaboration of national positions among national ministries or in meetings of Secretary of State committees, but also connected to the participation in the Open Working Group (OWG) on sustainable development goals. Moreover, several countries have started processes to suggest changes and to incorporate results from the post-2015 agenda in their NSDSs.

Additional SDGs-related activities and initiatives are foreseen in European Member States until September 2015. In most instances, stakeholder events and consultation activities will be organised. A strong case is made towards the renewal/revision of NSDSs and of national SD indicators taking into account the SDGs. In some cases, already established processes will be kept active. The detailed results of the survey can be found in the ESDN Quarterly Report of January 2015.

In this context, the present discussion paper is offered to the participants of the workshop with the intention to provide background information on the topics of the workshop and to support reflections and discussions. The first chapter provides information on the international processes behind the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the current situation. The second chapter takes a more European perspective and depicts how the EU is positioning itself in the context of the post-2015 agenda, the SDGs and in relation to the monitoring and review processes that will be put in place after a decision is made. The third chapter gives an overview on the experiences made with regards to EU and national SD monitoring and review processes.

The 12th ESDN Workshop – aims and sessions

In this context, the workshop aims to:

- Respond to a number of pressing questions for European countries:
  - Which national challenges have already been identified, tackled, and solved?
  - Which mechanisms have already been realized, foreseen, or debated?
  - Which risks, opportunities and barriers have been acknowledged?
  - Which fields for bilateral or European cooperation on these topics are of interest?

- Provide recommendations for national and EU policy-makers on issues related to SDGs monitoring and review processes particularly relevant in terms of governance for SD, such as the interaction and coherence between the various levels or the SDGs translation from the global level to the regional (EU), national and subnational level;

- Exploring concepts and ways for practical implementation of SDGs monitoring and review processes at European and national levels;

- Reflecting on ideas and practical experiences among European countries

The workshop will have various sessions and each will focus on different aspects of the theme “Monitoring and reviewing sustainable development goals (SDGs) in Europe”.

The opening and orientation session will include several welcome addresses and will also provide an overview of the workshop objectives. Particular attention will be devoted to the SDGs follow-up in the European Union and to what is currently planned at the EU level.
Session 1 on ‘Monitoring and review processes for SDGs’ will provide a solid overview on the current work on SDGs, objectives and indicator development. Keynote speakers will frame the topic and present the viewpoint of the international process held by the UN, the European work on SD indicators, and civil society organisations.

In Session 2, the subject will shift towards ‘National experiences with SD monitoring and review’ with the intention to explore the links with SDGs implementation. Experiences and outlooks from European and non-European countries will be presented. Following these inputs, the workshop delegates will be invited to exchange their experiences and viewpoints in parallel break-out groups.

Session 3 will consider ‘Linking SDGs monitoring and reviewing to European and National SD policy strategies’. After several keynotes, an interactive session will give participants the chance to exchange and learn from different national experiences.

Session 4 will reflect on ‘The role of policy actor networks for SDGs monitoring and reviewing’. In form of a panel discussion, the potential role of policy actor networks, such as the ESDN, in SDGs monitoring and reviewing in Europe will take place in this session. Each panellist will provide an opening statement on his/her view of the workshop topic and the results of the group work held during the workshop. This will be followed by a short debate among the panellists and a discussion with the workshop delegates, which will conclude the workshop.
1 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the current situation

1.1 SDGs and the post-2015 agenda: current status

The UN General Assembly's Open Working Group on Sustainable Development Goals (OWG) agreed on and published a ‘zero draft’ proposal of the SDGs at the conclusion of its 13th and final session on the 19 July 2014. The proposal contains 17 goals (see following Fig.1.1), accompanied by 169 targets. This list of goals and targets is, however, only temporary as the final decision on the SDGs and related targets will be adopted by the UN Member States at a summit in September 2015 at the UN Headquarters in New York.

![Fig.1.1 List of proposed SDGs](source: UN, 2014)

The post-2015 agenda can be seen as a global development agenda beyond 2015 with sustainable development at its core, with the aim to find convergence between two large discourses at the international level: the development discourse and its Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), and sustainable development, which aims to balance the dimensions of social equity, economic development and environmental protection.

In this context, a process with the aim of developing a set of SDGs was initiated in the Rio+20 Outcome Document (1). Several work streams were, therefore, established in the form of “an inclusive and transparent intergovernmental process” (paragraph 248): (i) Open Working Group of the General

---
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Assembly on Sustainable Development Goals (OWG); (ii) High-Level Panel of Eminent Persons on the Post-2015 UN Development Agenda; (iii) UN System Task Team on the Post-2015 UN Development Agenda; (iv) National, global and thematic consultations; (v) Regional consultations; (vi) Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN); (vii) UN Global Compact.

At the end of 2014, and in response to the UN General Assembly3, the Secretary-General presented a Synthesis Report4 as a contribution to the intergovernmental negotiations in the lead up to the Summit bringing together the results of all different work streams of the post-2015 development agenda until then (December 2014). In this report, entitled “The road to dignity by 2030: ending poverty, transforming all lives and protecting the planet”, the Secretary-General recognised the SDGs proposed by the OWG in the ‘zero draft’ report, and in Paragraph 45 synthesised the added value of the SDGs. Moreover, the report particularly emphasises the need for a transformational approach of the new agenda, which seems to be the strongest message within the report. Terms such as ‘transformation’, ‘transformative’ or ‘transition’ to sustainable development are repeated several times throughout the text. Such a strong concept is, for instance, also included in the title of the report and an entire section (Section B - A transformational approach) is dedicated to it. In addition, a particular sense of urgency is attached to it as stated, for instance, in Paragraph 159. The Secretary General’s Synthesis Report proposes an integrated set of six essential elements, which, taken together, aim to facilitate the deliberations of Member States towards a ‘truly universal transformation of sustainable development’:

1. **Dignity**: to end poverty and fight inequalities;
2. **People**: to ensure healthy lives, knowledge and the inclusion of women and children;
3. **Prosperity**: to grow a strong, inclusive and transformative economy;
4. **Planet**: to protect our ecosystems for all societies and our children;
5. **Justice**: to promote safe and peaceful societies and strong institutions;
6. **Partnership**: to catalyse global solidarity for sustainable development.

Figure 1.2: Six essential elements for delivering the sustainable development goals

Source: UN (2014)

---

Since January 2015, a series of Inter-governmental Negotiations (IGN) have started in order to discuss on the post-2015 agenda. Inter-governmental negotiations on the post-2015 development agenda began in New York on 19 January 2015\(^5\) with the President of the UN General Assembly (UNGA) opening a 3-day session of “stocktaking”.

**Four elements**\(^6\) are discussed and are expected to comprise the **new agenda**\(^7\): a declaration; a set of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets, along with eventual indicators; their means of implementation (MOI) and a new Global Partnership for Development; and, follow-up and review of implementation.

Negotiations are following a **road map of eight meetings** from January to July 2015. A final meeting will be convened on **25-27 September 2015 in New York** with a United Nations Summit to adopt the post-2015 development agenda, including a list of SDGs and targets, as a high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly. Parallel discussions have taken place regarding other important issues as i.e. the means of financing the post-2015 agenda for development.

### 1.2 SDGs monitoring and reviewing processes: current status

In particular, the third meeting at the UN level, held on 23–27 March 2015, addressed issues related to the proposed SDGs, targets and indicators. A technical report\(^8\) prepared by the Bureau of the United Nations Statistical Commission (UNSC) on the process of the development of an indicator framework for the goals and targets of the post-2015 development agenda was presented at the meeting. In preparation of the report, international agencies submitted recommendations of global indicators for the targets under all 17 SDGs, which then were assessed by National Statistical Offices (NSOs) based on three main criteria: feasibility; suitability; and relevance. Such an assessment, as pointed out by the UNSC, provides a point of departure for identifying appropriate indicators for all targets, which are only provisional and have not been discussed or endorsed by national experts. The purpose of this preliminary proposal was to:

- reassure Member States at the intergovernmental negotiations that the technical work on indicators is well under way;
- inform about the progress of work;
- illustrate how a list of global universal indicators might look, thereby providing some insights on how the targets can be measured; and
- allow Member States to provide feedback and broad political guidance for the future work of the Commission for the development of a proposal for a global indicator framework.

---


The results over the proposed 304 indicators are reflected in the draft technical report (in Annex 5) and we have summarized them in the following Fig. 1.3.

**Fig. 1.3: UNSC assessment of proposed indicators**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Out of the 304 proposed provisional indicators:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• 50 indicators (16%): feasible, suitable and very relevant;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 39 indicators (13%): only feasible with strong effort, but suitable and very relevant;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 28 indicators (9%): only feasible with strong effort, in need for further discussion, but very relevant;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 86 indicators (28%): only feasible with strong effort, in need for further discussion and somewhat relevant;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 95 indicators (31%): difficult even with strong effort, in need for further discussion and somewhat relevant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For 23 indicators, an additional fourth question was asked concerning the feasibility of the proposed dis-aggregation beyond age and sex. For 20 of these 23 indicators, this additional disaggregation was found feasible with strong effort.

**Source: UNSC (2015)**

Several messages from the UNSC report are important and summarized here:

- The UNSC, at its 46th session (3-6 March 2015), has endorsed a roadmap for the development and implementation of a global indicator framework and, in particular, the suggested timetable that foresees the endorsement of an indicator framework at the forty-seventh session of the Commission in 2016;
- The UNSC emphasized that, given the possibility of measurement and capacity constraints of Member States, the global indicator framework: (i) should only contain a limited number of indicators; (ii) strike a balance between reducing the number of indicators and policy relevance; (iii) build on the experiences of the MDGs; (iv) take into account conceptual indicator frameworks that have already been developed; (v) besides global, universal indicators, there will also be additional indicators for regional, national and thematic monitoring, to be organized in an integrated architecture;
- The Commission endorsed the formation of the Inter-Agency and Expert Group on SDG Indicators (IAEG-SDGs) that will be tasked with fully developing a proposal for the indicator framework for the monitoring of the goals and targets of the post-2015 development agenda at the global level, under the leadership of the national statistical offices, in an open and transparent manner.

---

9 The 304 proposed provisional indicators were compiled from submissions of experts from international agencies, organized as clusters under each goal, with each cluster providing indicator proposals in order of their priority (if more than one indicator was proposed) for all targets under the goal. In order to keep the number of indicators manageable and limited, in particular in light of the capacity constraints expressed by the Statistical Commission, only the first two of the proposed indicators for each target were included for this initial technical assessment.

10 The UNSC report further explains that: when aggregating the results across countries, for each of the questions the rating of “A” was given to an indicator when at least 60 per cent of respondents to this question gave this rating. The rating of “C” was given when at least 40 per cent of respondents gave this rating. In all other cases, the indicator was rated “B”. Not all countries responded to all questions and the percentages were calculated excluding non-responses.

11 The global indicator framework is to be based on the report of the Open Working Group on Sustainable Development Goals (OWG), the ongoing intergovernmental negotiations on the post-2015 development agenda and the outcome of the High-level summit in September 2015.
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 Particularly relevant for our workshop is the role of the IAEG-SDGs\textsuperscript{12}. It has been proposed that at its first meeting\textsuperscript{13} on 1-2 June 2015, the IAEG-SDGs will start its work on the development of a proposal for a global indicator framework for the goals and targets of the post-2015 development agenda. The roadmap endorsed by the Statistical Commission envisages the development of a first note on possible global and universal indicators and an indicator framework by July 2015. This note is expected to contain the proposed criteria for the selection of indicators for global monitoring. By December 2015, the IEAG-SDGs will provide a proposal of global and universal indicators, and an indicator framework for consideration by the Statistical Commission at its 47\textsuperscript{th} session in March 2016. In parallel to the IAEG-SDGs, the Statistical Commission agreed that a High-level Group (HLG) should be established to provide strategic leadership for the SDG implementation process as it concerns statistical monitoring and reporting.

 Moreover, since March 2013, the Commission has been supporting the inter-governmental process towards the formulation of a new development agenda through its Friends of the Chair group on broader measures of progress (FOC). The FOC has also provided inputs to the inter-governmental process on the post-2015 development agenda. Particularly its reports\textsuperscript{14} to the Statistical Commission helped prepare and guide the discussion on the development and implementation of an indicator framework for the goals and targets of the post-2015 development agenda. The work on the development and implementation of an indicator framework for the goals and targets of the post-2015 development agenda is now taken over by the above-mentioned IAEG-SDGs and the HLG.

 In particular, the IAEG-SDGs\textsuperscript{15} will consist of 28 representatives of NSOs and include, as observers, representatives of regional commissions and regional and international agencies. The IAEG-SDGs will, among others:

 (a) **Develop an indicator framework and a list of indicators** for the monitoring of the goals and targets of the post-2015 development agenda at the global level to be adopted by the Statistical Commission at its 47\textsuperscript{th} session in 2016;

 (b) **Provide technical support for the implementation** of the approved indicator and monitoring framework over the 15-year period towards 2030; ensure the use of harmonized and agreed indicator definitions; **share experiences** on monitoring the sustainable development goals; and **encourage good practices and innovations**, including in the area of national capacity building;

 (c) **Regularly review** methodological developments related to the indicators and their metadata;

 (d) **Report on progress** towards the goals and targets;

 (e) **Regularly review capacity-building activities** in statistical areas relevant to sustainable development goal monitoring;

 (f) **Review and support work by the Secretariat** for the development of a sustainable development goal data-user forum, tools for data analysis and an open dashboard on the state of sustainable development goals.

\textsuperscript{12} More information are available at: \url{http://unstats.un.org/files/IAEG-SDGs%20-%20Terms%20of%20Reference%20(April%202015).pdf}

\textsuperscript{13} See also: \url{http://unstats.un.org/sdgs/first-iaeg-sdgs-meeting/}

\textsuperscript{14} See for instance: \url{http://unstats.un.org/unsd/statcom/doc15/2015-2-BroaderMeasures-E.pdf}

\textsuperscript{15} \url{http://unstats.un.org/files/IAEG-SDGs%20-%20Terms%20of%20Reference%20(April%202015).pdf}
In this context, a particularly useful piece of information is provided by the UN Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN), which published on 15 May 2015 the report ‘Indicators and a Monitoring Framework for Sustainable Development Goals: Launching a data revolution for the SDGs’ offering a contribution to the multi-stakeholder debate in support of the SDGs. The report is the result of over 18 months of consultative work led by the SDSN with the contributions of nearly 500 organizations and thousands of individuals. The SDSN Thematic Groups, a large number of UN agencies and other international institutions, national statistical offices, civil society organizations, academia, and businesses have provided expert input that has helped improve the indicator framework. Several messages are particularly interesting:

- A sound indicator framework will turn the SDGs and their targets into a management tool as well as a report card to measure progress towards sustainable development and help ensure the accountability of all stakeholders for achieving the SDGs;
- An emerging consensus suggests that the focus of SDG monitoring will be at the national level;
- Complementary monitoring will occur at regional and global levels, and in a thematic way;
- 100 Global Monitoring Indicators are suggested, accompanied by suggestions for Complementary National Indicators;
- All SDG indicators need to be considered as an integrated package: goals and targets are themselves interdependent, must be pursued together and also give careful thought to tracking cross-cutting issues;
- A Global Partnership for Sustainable Development Data, to help drive the Data Revolution, should be established.

A special attention in the SDSN report is devoted to the development of an integrated monitoring framework. Such a framework should include multi-level review processes and indicators that will need to be not only national, but also global, regional and thematic as laid out in the Secretary-General’s Synthesis Report mentioned above and described in the following figure 1.4. These four levels of monitoring – national, regional, global, and thematic – should be organized in an integrated architecture, as suggested in the technical report by the Bureau of the UNSC\(^\text{16}\).

\*Fig. 1.4 Four levels of monitoring – national, regional, global, and thematic*

2 A European perspective: strategy frameworks, the post-2015, and the role for monitoring/reviewing

2.1 How the EU is engaging with the SDGs and the post-2015 agenda

In the European Union, mainly two policy strategies can be related to the SDGs as their goals and targets are dealing with similar issues: the Europe 2020 Strategy and the EU Sustainable Development Strategy. Additionally, Eurostat is monitoring both strategies extensively with its bi-annual reports, and this fact gives us more information on how to connect the post-2015 agenda and European policy strategies.

2.1.1 The Europe 2020 Strategy

Adopted in 2010, the Europe 2020 Strategy, ‘A strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth’, outlines three “mutually reinforcing priorities” (EC, 2010, p.3) 18 for the EU:

- **Smart growth**: developing an economy based on knowledge and innovation;
- **Sustainable growth**: promoting a more resource efficient, greener and more competitive economy;
- **Inclusive growth**: fostering a high-employment economy delivering social and territorial cohesion.

Although they are not exhaustive, five EU headline targets are to be achieved by 2020:

1. 75% of the population aged 20-64 should be employed;
2. 3% of the EU’s GDP should be invested in R&D;
3. the "20/20/20" climate and energy targets should be met (including an increase to 30% of emissions reduction if the conditions are right);
4. the share of early school leavers should be under 10% and at least 40% of the younger generation should have a tertiary degree;
5. 20 million less people should be at risk of poverty.

The EU headline targets are then translated into national Europe 2020 targets that reflect the different national situations and circumstances.

2.1.2 The EU Sustainable Development Strategy (EU SDS)

Renewed and adopted in 2006, the EU Sustainable Development Strategy (EU SDS) sets out objectives and concrete actions for seven key priority challenges, mostly for the period until 2010:

1. **Climate change and clean energy**: to limit climate change and its costs and negative effects to society and the environment;
2. **Sustainable transport**: to ensure that our transport systems meet society’s economic, social and environmental needs whilst minimising their undesirable impacts on the economy, society and the environment;
3. **Sustainable consumption & production**: to promote sustainable consumption and production patterns;

---

4. **Conservation and management of natural resources**: to improve management and avoid overexploitation of natural resources, recognising the value of ecosystem services;

5. **Public Health**: to promote good public health on equal conditions and improve protection against health threats;

6. **Social inclusion, demography and migration**: to create a socially inclusive society by taking into account solidarity between and within generations and to secure and increase the quality of life of citizens as a precondition for lasting individual well-being;

7. **Global poverty and sustainable development challenges**: to actively promote sustainable development worldwide and ensure that the European Union’s internal and external policies are consistent with global sustainable development and its international commitments.

Additionally, the renewed EU SDS includes two cross-cutting policies that aim to contribute to the knowledge society: 1) **Education and training**; and, 2) **Research and development**.

### 2.1.3 The EU and the post-2015 agenda

On 26 May 2015, Council of the European Union published its Council conclusions on the post-2015 agenda entitled “**A New Global Partnership for Poverty Eradication and Sustainable Development after 2015**”. These conclusions complement the December 2014 conclusions, with a number of other European positions (Fig. 2.1) that altogether set out the EU’s vision in this matter, and further develop aspects of the new global partnership needed to achieve the sustainable development goals (SDGs). The conclusions affirm right at the very beginning how the Post-2015 Agenda presents a **great opportunity to address the interlinked challenges** of poverty eradication and sustainable development, and describe such an opportunity as a **key priority for the EU and its Member States**.

#### Fig. 2.1 EU’s vision documents on the post-2015 agenda

**Commission Communications**:
- February 2013: “*A decent life for all: Ending poverty and giving the world a sustainable future*” COM(2013)92
- July 2013: "Beyond 2015: towards a comprehensive and integrated approach to financing poverty eradication and sustainable development" COM(2013)531
- June 2014: "*A decent life for all: From vision to collective action*" COM(2014)335

**Council Conclusions**:
- June 2013: "*The overarching post-2015 agenda*" 11559/13
- December 2013: "*Financing poverty eradication and sustainable development beyond 2015*" 17553/13
- December 2014: "*A transformative post-2015 agenda*" 16821/14

Several **guiding principles** are reaffirmed by the EU Council Conclusions of May 2015: (i) universality, (ii) shared responsibility, (iii) mutual accountability, (iv) consideration of respective capabilities, and (v) a multi-stakeholder approach. Such a new global partnership should also be based on and promote: human rights, equality, non-discrimination, democratic institutions, good governance, rule of law,
inclusiveness, environmental sustainability, respect for planetary boundaries, women’s rights, gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls.

Particular emphasis to reach and implement the post-2015 agenda is then put on national ownership and accountability, especially, for instance, through sustainable development strategies: “National ownership and accountability will be of key importance for the Post-2015 Agenda and its implementation, including through commitments at the appropriate levels and instruments such as sustainable development strategies.” (Art. 7, Council Conclusions of 25 May 2015)

In this context, the Conclusions describe eight key components of a comprehensive approach to means of implementation in the context of a new Global Partnership, for each of which we provide some detailed information:

1. Establishing an enabling and conducive policy environment at all levels

All countries should ensure that appropriate policies are in place including, for example, through effective legislative and regulatory frameworks to implement the post-2015 agenda and achieve the SDGs. Consistently, all countries will need to promote effective and inclusive institutions and develop transparent policies with a special reference to strengthening the link between peace, human rights and sustainable development. In this context, particular attention should be devoted to full and productive employment and decent work that address inequality and social exclusion. Strong reference is made towards policy coherence at all levels as countries at all levels of development should ensure that their policies contribute coherently to their sustainable development priorities, both domestically and internationally.

2. Developing capacity to deliver

The Conclusions stress the importance of effective institutions and having the necessary capacity and human skills for implementing the agenda, especially by ensuring capacity to design and implement policies to tackle sustainable development challenges and to adopt measures, collect data, assess results and review strategies. Particular attention is devoted to an enabling environment for civil society both at national and international level: an inclusive engagement of citizens and civil society is key for nurturing democratic ownership, development effectiveness and sustainability of results. In this context, particularly relevant are multi-stakeholder partnerships as they can contribute to sustainable development and bring together the knowledge and experience of a wide variety of actors.

3. Mobilising and making effective use of domestic public finance

As domestic public finance is recognized as the largest source of stable and directly available financing for most governments, the Conclusions, therefore, stress the importance of mainstreaming sustainable development in domestic public finance. All countries should commit to achieving levels of government revenue that best allow them to sustainably fund, at domestic level, poverty eradication and sustainable development, including by strengthening the institutions responsible for revenue policy and collection
and their oversight. In so doing, all countries should also commit to good governance and ensure that they have systems in place for the efficient and transparent management of public resources, including through public procurement, and the sustainable management of natural resources and the related revenue: transparency and accountability is key.

4. Mobilising and making effective use of international public finance

Since international public financing remains an important and catalytic element of the overall financing available to developing countries – including i.e. official development assistance (ODA) – the Conclusions reaffirm the EU’s collective commitment to achieve the 0.7% ODA/GNI target within the time frame of the post-2015 agenda. The Conclusions also underpin that all international public resources should contribute to supporting poverty eradication and sustainable development in a balanced and integrated way that is both climate smart and climate resilient and ecosystem tolerant. They should be delivered and used effectively and efficiently, in line with development effectiveness principles including ownership, transparency and mutual accountability and emphasis on results. Particular mention is made towards the use of innovative financing and the role of International Financial Institutions (IFIs) and multilateral and bilateral development banks as critical actors for reaching the SDGs.

5. Mobilising the domestic and international private sector

The Conclusions recognise the potential of private entrepreneurship (i.e. public-private partnerships) as a central tool for sustainable development. The private sector should be fully engaged in the implementation of the post-2015 agenda through the creation of a conducive and stable business environment for the private sector. Furthermore, investment is key, including level playing fields for competition, as are accountable and efficient institutions acting in accordance with the rule of law. Therefore, the Conclusions stressed the need to support a conductive policy and regulatory framework for the financial sector, the strengthening of financial infrastructure and the building of client-oriented and sustainable financial institutions that mobilise domestic savings. In addition, they underlined the importance of micro, small and medium-sized enterprises and enterprises of the social economy to job creation and sustainable development, as well as the crucial role played by small-holder farmers.

6. Stimulating trade and investments

While trade is seen as one of the key factors for inclusive growth and sustainable development, and as an essential means of implementation for the post-2015 agenda, the Conclusions recognise the primacy of the World Trade Organisation with regard to trade issues at global level. Particular attention is, therefore, devoted to trade policy, including trade and investment agreements, as it must appropriately integrate sustainable development including its social and environmental dimensions: greater support should be given to multilateral efforts and the plurilateral agreement on environmental goods and services, and to the implementation of ILO core labour standards and fundamental conventions, as well as to the implementation of MEAs.
7. Fostering science, technology and innovation

Investments in science, technology and innovation (STI) are vital to achieving poverty eradication and sustainable development as well as to identifying and addressing pressing global societal challenges. In order to improve evidence-based decision-making, the Conclusions stress the need to improve the science-policy interface. All countries should, therefore, increase bilateral, regional and multilateral cooperation on STI to promote the implementation of the SDGs. The EU is committed to fostering STI, for example, through its framework programme for research and innovation: Horizon 2020 will also support sustainable development, both within the EU and in cooperation with international partners.

8. Addressing the challenges and harnessing the positive effects of migration

In this regard, the Conclusions affirm that well-managed migration and mobility can make a positive contribution as an enabler to inclusive growth and sustainable development. Migration should be addressed in a holistic manner, taking full account of the opportunities and challenges of migration for development. The new Global Partnership should foster a more collaborative approach to increase the benefits of international migration for sustainable development and to reduce vulnerabilities. All countries need to make efforts to manage migration effectively with full respect for the human rights and dignity of migrants.

2.2 Monitoring and reviewing in EU

The EU Council in his recent conclusions is particularly clear on the need for a strong monitoring, accountability and review framework as an integral part of the post-2015 agenda. The framework’s main objectives are delineated as to: (i) monitor global progress; (ii) foster exchange of best practices and mutual learning including collectively addressing shared challenges; (iii) actively engage all stakeholders; (iv) provide political and leadership focus to motivate and incentivise further action.

While the Council recommends that such a framework operates at national, regional and global level, but building on already established systems for monitoring and accountability, countries are encouraged to commit to multi-stakeholder processes and to set up systems for accountability at national level on the basis of national sustainable development strategies or other relevant frameworks. National parliaments, local governments and civil society actors, including knowledge institutions, play important roles in this context. Monitoring at national level should also contribute to monitoring at global level including through the provision of statistics and other relevant information on the global indicators. The regional level could provide a useful forum for peer review and learning, and encourage countries to set ambitious targets and stimulate implementation. Work at the regional level could also help to ensure progress on transboundary issues and on regionally shared targets.

The EU Council also clearly supports the work done by the UN Statistical Commission and welcomes the creation of the Inter-agency and Expert Group on SDG Indicators and of the High Level Group.
With regards more specifically to indicators, the Conclusions point out that indicators and data should be **based on existing indicators**, when possible, in order to ensure robust datasets and cost effective solutions. A particular mention is then made on the crucial aspect of **making an integrated framework that embeds inter-linkages and balances the three dimensions of sustainable development.**
3 Experiences with EU and national SD monitoring and review processes

3.1 The EU SDS’s processes of monitoring and reviewing

As measuring progress towards sustainable development is an integral part of the EU Sustainable Development Strategy (EU SDS) in the European Union, Eurostat has been given the task to produce a monitoring report bi-annually, based on the EU set of sustainable development indicators (EU SDIs). Until now, Eurostat has published five monitoring reports that quantitatively assess whether the EU is moving towards its sustainable development objectives. In these monitoring reports, the object of the evaluation is, therefore, the relative direction and rate of change in light of sustainable development objectives, not the ‘sustainability’ of the situation at any point in time. It is, therefore, a relative, not an absolute assessment.

**Box 3.1 A short history of Eurostat’s role in SD measurement**

Eurostat took its first steps towards measuring sustainable development (SD) in the 1990s. Following the United Nations (UN) Conference on Environment and Development held in Rio de Janeiro in 1992 (also known as ‘Rio Earth Summit’), Eurostat worked closely with the UN work programme on global indicators of sustainable development, and published indicator compilations in 1997 and in 2001.

A first EU-oriented set of SDIs was proposed following the adoption of the first EU SDS in 2001 and was endorsed by the European Commission in 2005. The set was slightly revised after the review of the EU SDS from 2001 that led to the adoption of a renewed strategy in 2006. Since then, several reviews of the SDI set have been carried out by the Commission with the assistance of the working group on SDIs, which is composed of both statistical and policy representatives at Member States and EU level. Nevertheless, the current set of SDIs very similar to that endorsed in 2005.

Source: Eurostat (2013)

The set of EU SDIs is organised within a theme-oriented framework, to provide a clear and easily communicable structure and relevance to political decision-making. The EU SDIs framework is based on priority policy issues and is organized in ten themes:

1) Socioeconomic development;
2) Sustainable consumption and production;
3) Social inclusion;
4) Demographic changes;
5) Public health;
6) Climate change and energy;
7) Sustainable transport;
8) Natural resources;
9) Global partnership;
10) Good governance.

---

19 The 2015 Eurostat Monitoring Report is currently under preparation.
20 The current set of indicators is available on the Eurostat website at: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/sustainabledevelopment
The set of EU SDIs is structured as a three-story pyramid, distinguishing between three levels of indicators. This approach not only reflects the structure of the EU SDS (overall objectives, operational objectives, actions), but also responds to different kinds of user needs. Each theme is further divided into sub-themes and includes three levels of indicators. The main body of the renewed EU SDS from 2006 is built around seven key challenges, with corresponding operational objectives and targets as well as associated actions and measures. In addition, a number of key objectives and policy guiding principles serve as a basis for the strategy. Each of the seven key challenges of the renewed EU SDS is represented by a theme together with the additional inclusion of a theme on ‘socioeconomic development’ which focuses on the key objective of economic prosperity, and a theme on ‘good governance’ related to the guiding principles of the EU SDS and other crosscutting issues.

### Box 3.2 EU SD Indicators pyramid structure

- **Headline** (or level 1) indicators are at the top of the pyramid, monitoring the ‘overall objectives’ related to the seven key challenges of the EU SDS.
- The **second level** of the pyramid consists in most cases of indicators related to the ‘operational objectives’ of the Strategy. They are the lead indicators in their respective sub-themes.
- The **third level** consists of indicators related to actions described in the strategy or to other issues which are useful for analysing progress towards its objectives.

**Contextual indicators** are part of the SDI set, but either do not monitor directly a particular SDS objective, or they are not policy responsive. Generally, they are difficult to interpret in a normative way but can provide valuable background information on issues that have direct relevance to sustainable development policies.

Source: Eurostat (2013)

The most recent changes to the indicator set were related to the adoption of the Europe 2020 Strategy and its eight headline indicators, which have been integrated into the SDI framework in the themes ‘socioeconomic development’, ‘social inclusion’ and ‘climate change and energy’. Thus, over the course of several revisions, some changes have been made to reflect trends in EU policies related to sustainable development, although the overall framework has proved sufficiently robust to remain unaltered.

### 3.2 An overview of monitoring and review processes in European countries

The information for this overview is based on the country profiles section at the ESDN website that brings together information on European countries’ national SD strategy processes. The last update of the country profiles took place in July 2013 and resulted in the Quarterly Report, entitle “National
Sustainable Development Strategies in Europe 2013: Taking stock and exploring new developments"\textsuperscript{21}. Two sections have been considered for the overview we present below:

1) **Indicators and Monitoring**: This category of the country profiles gives an overview of SDI monitoring across Europe. Setting objectives and measuring progress in achieving them with SD indicators (SDIs) are two closely related features that are typical for serious strategic management approaches in general, and for virtually all SD strategies in Europe in particular.

2) **Evaluation and Review**: This category of the country profiles gives an overview of the evaluation and review approaches applied in the context of SD strategies in Europe. It focuses on qualitative evaluations and reviews that assess the quality of SD strategy processes, policy instruments used, stakeholders involved and outcomes achieved.

3.2.1 Monitoring processes in the context of National SD Strategies in Europe\textsuperscript{22}

Monitoring is an assessment activity, usually based on a set of quantitative indicators. The higher and stronger the link between indicators and policy objectives in the NSDSs, the more measurable are the deliveries of the strategy. In our context, monitoring processes, therefore, use indicators to keep track of the situation in time of national strategies, sectoral policies, objectives and goals on SD. This section outlines shortly the status quo in development and revision of the set of indicators, and their utilization in the NSDS review process.

Most European countries have developed a set of SD indicators together with the development of their NSDSs. The number of SD indicators ranges from a small number, like 15 key indicators in France or 17 indicators in Norway, to the largest number of indicators found in Italy and Hungary with 150 and 155 indicators, respectively. However, the majority of countries use between 70 and 100 indicators, with an average of 80 indicators (e.g. Austria with 82). Germany and Finland use 38 and 34 indicators, respectively. Additionally, various countries make use of headline indicators (e.g. Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Sweden), mainly for communication purposes.

In most countries, the National Statistical Offices are responsible for the development and monitoring of SD indicators (e.g. Estonia, France, Finland, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Portugal, Slovenia, Sweden, Switzerland). In other countries, different bodies have this responsibility, for instance, Belgium (Task Force on SD of the Federal Planning Bureau), Cyprus (Inter-Governmental Committee), or Denmark (Environment Protection Agency).

The monitoring reports on SDIs show the status and progress of SD within the countries. The monitoring processes vary among countries, however, based on timing and on institutional capacities. Only a few countries have developed regular SDI monitoring cycles. These monitoring cycles are usually done on a yearly basis (e.g. Italy, Montenegro, Slovenia) or are performed bi-annually (e.g. Austria, Estonia,\textsuperscript{23})


Germany, Latvia). There are also countries that have monitoring processes that do not appear to be either regular or fixed reporting mechanisms (e.g. Czech Republic). Anyway, a notable experience is from Switzerland:

In Switzerland, the Swiss Federal Statistical Office (SFSO), the Federal Office for Spatial Development (ARE) and the Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN) joined forces to create the MONET measurement system. With about 75 indicators, this monitoring tool facilitates regular reporting on the status and progress of SD throughout Switzerland. The MONET system was revised in 2009 and is now more in line with the themes of the European Union’s SD indicators system. The revised system now has 75 indicators (instead of 130), twelve of which are new.

### 3.2.2 Review processes in the context of National SD Strategies in Europe

This section gives an overview of the evaluation and review approaches applied in the context of SD strategies in Europe. It focuses on **qualitative evaluations and reviews** that assess the quality of SD strategy processes, policy instruments used and stakeholders involved.

NSDSs are not only strategic documents but also foster strategic processes. As NSDS processes constantly need to adapt to new situations and challenges, the evaluation of these policy processes and the achievement of the NSDS targets is important and has been introduced in almost all European countries.

The review processes of NSDSs can take **three forms**: internal reviews, external reviews and peer reviews.

**Internal reviews** are conducted within the government ministries by an internal body responsible for the review process. Usually, this depends on the country’s institutional setting and on the particular institution charged with SD tasks. However, in many of the countries, review processes take the form of progress reports (e.g. Austria, Czech Republic, France), which seem to be the most diffused form of evaluation and review among European countries. In other countries, evaluation and review is undertaken within the horizontal mechanisms and inter-ministerial bodies also responsible for coordinating the preparation and implementation of NSDSs (e.g. Estonia, Luxembourg, Switzerland).

The internal review process can be classified according to **timing**. Some countries have a bi-annual review process that culminates with the publication of a so-called progress report (e.g. Austria, Luxembourg, Latvia, Lithuania). Some others perform annual reviews or annual progress reports (e.g. France, Slovakia, Slovenia, Switzerland). Several countries have a less tight schedule that does not display regularity or is represented by a one-off exercise (e.g. Poland, Spain). Germany has a four-year review process cycle. Also, for the Austrian ÖSTRAT (the Austrian joint national strategy addressing both the federal and regional levels), evaluation is intended to be done every four years. In Croatia, the Environmental Status Report, which includes an evaluation of the realization of NSDS goals is carried out every four years as well. In Iceland, the NSDS is also revised every four years.
In **Belgium**, the timing of the internal review is specifically decided to support and allow the integration of lessons learned into the design of the subsequent Federal Plan for SD (FPSD). The 2010 Federal Act on SD puts forward two distinct provisions for internal review:

- The report by the members of the Interdepartmental Commission on Sustainable Development (ICSD), which contains information on the implementation of the measures through which the administrative unit they represent aims to contribute to the objectives of the Federal Plan (FPSD), is to be completed at least 18 months prior to the agreed completion date of the FPSD.
- The Federal Report on Sustainable Development, drafted by the Task Force on Sustainable Development (TFSD) of the Federal Planning Bureau (FPB), is divided into two parts: a status and evaluation report and a foresight report looking at future developments. The status and evaluation report needs to be published at least 15 months prior to the completion date of the FP.

Not many European countries undertake an **external review**. Two options are usually employed: Either the responsible institution for the NSDS review process commissions a **private consultant** (e.g. Switzerland, Finland) or the task is given to **independent researchers** (e.g. Austria). A very important case can be found in the Finnish experience:

In **Finland**, a comprehensive national assessment of sustainable development was completed in December 2009: The main objective of the assessment was to evaluate the implementation of the National Strategy for Sustainable Development of 2006 and assess the importance and impacts of sustainable development in Finnish policies and administrative practices, as well as give a picture on the state of sustainable development in Finland. The assessment was conducted as an external evaluation (undertaken by Ramboll Management Consulting), and discussed in the meeting of the Finnish National Commission for SD in December 2009. The report has been translated into English and is available on the internet.

An assessment of the national model and work of the FNCSD was carried out in 2012 by Gaia Consulting, an external consultant. Based on this assessment and the work of the Finnish National Commission on SD, a new strategy process was launched in 2012.

**Peer reviews** have been conducted in four countries: France (2005), Norway (2007), the Netherlands (2007), and twice in Germany (2009, 2013). The idea behind the peer reviews of NSDSs is to identify and share good practices in a process of mutual learning where, usually, other countries are taken as peers in the process. The peer review of an NSDS is voluntary and is undertaken upon the initiative of the country concerned. The peer reviews are intended to address all three SD pillars and the peer-reviewed country is free to choose to undertake a review of the whole NSDS or focus on one or more specific issues.

**France** was the first EU Member State that organized a peer review process to evaluate the implementation of the NSDS with the inclusion of four peer countries (Belgium, Ghana, Mauritius and the UK). The peer review report was issued in 2005.

In **Norway**, as part of the process of developing the new strategy, the Norwegian Ministry of Finance initiated a peer review of the Norwegian NSDS. It was conducted by a group of Swedish experts, with support from a representative from Uganda on trade and aid. The group delivered its report "A Peer Review of Norway's Policy for Sustainable Development" in 2007.
In **The Netherlands**, the Ministry of Environment and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs initiated a peer review of the Dutch NSDS, which was partially financed by the European Commission. Germany, Finland and South Africa were selected as peer countries. From each peer country, four experts were invited to the peer review team, representing the government, business, science and NGOs. During the peer review process, several activities were undertaken, including a scoping meeting and interviews with Dutch stakeholders. The final peer review report was presented to the Minister of Housing, Spatial Planning and the Environment on 21 June 2007 and includes 46 recommendations for a new SD framework.

In **Germany**, in 2009 and 2013, the German Federal Government mandated Björn Stigson, the (former) President of the World Business Council for SD, and a group of peers (from Sweden, Finland, Great Britain, the Netherlands, and USA as well as from India, Canada, South Africa and South Korea) to conduct the Peer Reviews of Germany's SD policies. Both reports acknowledged Germany’s potential key position to spearhead the transition to a more sustainable development and came up with a set of clear recommendations addressing politics, the parliament, the business community and civil society. The German Council for Sustainable Development facilitated both reviewing processes.